



Sponsored link

CiteULike

- [News](#)
- [CiteGeist](#)
- [Discussion](#)

Journals

- [Browse current issues](#)

Groups

- [Search groups](#)

CiteULike is a free online bibliography manager. [Register](#) and you can start organising your references online.

- Library**
- Groups**
- Watchlist**
- Profile**
- Blog**

- [Recent](#)
- [Unread](#)
- [Search](#)
- [Authors](#)
- [Tags](#)
- [Export](#)

Social semantics: how useful has group selection been?

by: SA [West](#), AS [Griffin](#), A [Gardner](#)

Journal of Evolutionary Biology, Vol. 21, No. 1. (2008), pp. 374-385.

Plain

View FullText article

- [Blackwell Synergy](#), [DOI](#)

Reviews [\[Write a review of this article\]](#)

There are no reviews of this article

Notes for this article

qwerfish has 0 private notes and 1 public note for this article.

[Reply to Wilson. I think they win.](#)

qwerfish (public note) - 2008-02-02 04:15:53

Find related articles from these CiteULike users

- [qwerfish](#)

Find related articles with these CiteULike tags

- [biology](#), [evolution](#), [philosophy](#), [philsci](#), [theory](#)

Abstract

Abstract In our social semantics review (J. Evol. Biol., 2007, 415-432), we discussed some of the misconceptions and sources of confusion associated with group selection. Wilson (2007, this issue) claims that we made three errors regarding group selection. Here, we aim to expand upon the relevant points from our review in order to refute this claim. The last 45 years of research provide clear evidence of the relative use of the kin and group selection approaches. Kin selection methodologies are

qwerfish's tags

All tags in qwerfish's library

Filter:

- actin
- adp
- aesthetics
- anthropology
- art
- autism
- bayes
- bioinformatics
- biology**
- brownian
- causality
- cell charge
- chemistry
- complexity
- computation**
- condensedmatter
- consciousness
- coordination
- cosmology**
- coupling
- crystal
- determinism
- dynamics
- dynein
- ecology
- electrostatics**
- emergence
- epistemology
- epp
- ergodicity
- ethics
- evolution**
- experiment**
- explanation**
- force
- foundations
- games
- genetics
- geometry
- graphs
- history
- humour
- imaging
- in
- interaction
- kinesin**
- language
- lecture
- limping
- love
- mathematics
- mc
- meaning
- mechanics
- medicine
- metaphysics
- methodology
- microtubule
- mind
- model
- molecular
- monomeric
- morality
- motor
- motors
- movement**
- music
- mutant
- myosin
- objectivity
- observation
- phenomenology
- philosophy**
- philsci
- physics**
- physiology

Network Error (tcp_erro

A communicac error occur

The Web Server may down, too busy, or experienc other probl preventing from responding

requests. I
may wish to
again at a
time.

For assistance
contact your
network support
team.

more tractable, allowing the construction of models that can be applied more easily to specific biological examples, including those chosen by Wilson to illustrate the utility of the group selection approach. In contrast, the group selection approach is not only less useful, but also appears to frequently have negative consequences by fostering confusion that leads to wasted effort. More generally, kin selection theory allows the construction of a unified conceptual overview that can be applied across all taxa, whereas there is no formal theory of group selection.

► [BibTeX record](#)

► [RIS record](#)

[RIS](#) [BibTeX](#)

probability processivity
psychology quantum
ratchets realism reduction
relativity religion reproduction
review sex simulation
social sociobiology space
statistics statmech
structure substep
symmetry tasep taxonomy
theory
thermodynamics time
topology traffic tubulin
unavailable vivo wittgenstein